

The last Will and Testament of Obland Peter d^r was proved by the oaths of Henry J Johnson one of the subscribers witnesseth & continues for further proof

The last Will and Testament of Robert J. Grogard d^r was proved by the oaths of L. G. Penhall one of the subscribers witnesseth & continues for further proof

15.36

Jesse N Harris having claimed an attachment against the estate of John Waggs who intends to dispose of his effects and remove them from this Commonwealth for \$510. 63^c which becomes payable 1st day of Sept. next and the officer having made return (herein called) This day came the Plaintiff by his attorney and the Defendant not appearing it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiff recover against the Defendant the sum of \$510. 63^c the amount of his debt proven to be just with legal interest thereon from 1st day of September 1867 till paid and his costs on this behalf expended And it is ordered that the Sheriff make sale of the effects attached or so much thereof as may be sufficient and out of the monies arising therefrom pay Plaintiff the judgment to the Plaintiff and the balance if any, return to the Defendant and make delivery of such sale to the Court

15.48

On the action of Richmond T Dillard guardian d^r against Ruth H Bishop & John W Bowley This day came the Plaintiff by his attorney and it appearing to the Court that the Defendants had had twenty days previous notice of this action they were deliberately called but did not appear Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiff recover against the said Defendants \$34. 76^c being a sum equal to the interest for one year on the sum of \$545. 01 principal sum due by the Defendants to the Plaintiff on account for \$1125.77^c dated 1st October 1858 and that the Plaintiff recover against the Defendants his costs by him out of his behalf expended

16.48

On the action of Joseph A. Darrow against Richard J Dardur & Jas. J. Dardur H. P. Pop & W. H. Clarke - This day came the Plaintiff by his attorney and it appearing to the Court that the Defendants Richard J Dardur Jas. J. Dardur & H. P. Pop have had twenty days previous notice of this action they were deliberately called but came not. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiff recover against the said Defendants Richard J Dardur Jas. J. Dardur & H. P. Pop the sum of \$18. 55^c being a sum equal to the interest for one year on the sum of \$300. 59^c the principal debt due from the Defendants by bond recd by them dated 1st January 1859 to the Plaintiff and that the Plaintiff recover against said Defendants his costs by him out of his behalf expended

15.98

On the action of Thomas Ridley against Andrew Blank, Jas. J. Dardur H. P. Pop. This day came the Plaintiff by his attorney and it appearing to the Court that the Defendants Richard J Dardur Jas. J. Dardur & H. P. Pop have had twenty days previous notice of this action they were deliberately called but came not. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiff recover against the said Defendants Richard J Dardur Jas. J. Dardur & H. P. Pop the sum of \$100. Being a sum equal to the interest for one year on the sum of \$920.00 principal debt due by the Defendants to the Plaintiff by bond recd by them dated Aug. 30th 1852 and payable on demand and also that the Plaintiff recover against the said Defendants his costs by him in this behalf expended